## Lecture 4: March 29, 2023

Lecturer: Avrim Blum (notes based on notes from Madhur Tulsiani)

## 1 Orthogonality and orthonormality

Definition 1.1 Two vectors $u, v$ in an inner product space are said to be orthogonal if $\langle u, v\rangle=0$. A set of vectors $S \subseteq V$ is said to consist of mutually orthogonal vectors if $\langle u, v\rangle=0$ for all $u \neq v, u, v \in S$. A set of $S \subseteq V$ is said to be orthonormal if $\langle u, v\rangle=0$ for all $u \neq v, u, v \in S$ and $\|u\|=1$ for all $u \in S$.

Proposition 1.2 $A$ set $S \subseteq V \backslash\left\{0_{V}\right\}$ consisting of mutually orthogonal vectors is linearly independent.

Proposition 1.3 (Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization) Given a finite set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ of linearly independent vectors, there exists a set of orthonormal vectors $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right) .
$$

Proof: By induction. The case with one vector is trivial. Given the statement for $k$ vectors and orthonormal $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}\right),
$$

define

$$
u_{k+1}=v_{k+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle w_{i}, v_{k+1}\right\rangle \cdot w_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{k+1}=\frac{u_{k+1}}{\left\|u_{k+1}\right\|} .
$$

We can now check that the set $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k+1}\right\}$ satisfies the required conditions. Unit length is clear, so let's check orthogonality:

$$
\left\langle u_{k+1}, w_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{k+1}, w_{j}\right\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{\left\langle w_{i}, v_{k+1}\right\rangle} \cdot\left\langle w_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{k+1}, w_{j}\right\rangle-\overline{\left\langle w_{j}, v_{k+1}\right\rangle}=0 .
$$

Corollary 1.4 Every finite dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis.

In fact, Hilbert spaces also have orthonormal bases (which are countable). The existence of a maximal orthonormal set of vectors can be proved by using Zorn's lemma. However, we still need to prove that a maximal orthonormal set is a basis. This follows because we define the basis slightly differently for a Hilbert space: instead of allowing only finite linear combinations, we allow infinite ones. The correct way of saying this is that is we still think of the span as the set of all finite linear combinations, then we only need that for any $v \in V$, we can get arbitrarily close to $v$ using elements in the span (a converging sequence of finite sums can get arbitrarily close to its limit). Thus, we only need that the span is dense in the Hilbert space $V$. However, if the maximal orthonormal set is not dense, then it is possible to show that it cannot be maximal. Such a basis is known as a Hilbert basis.
Let $V$ be a finite dimensional inner product space and let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then for any $v \in V$, there exist $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v=\sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot w_{i}$. The coefficients $c_{i}$ are often called Fourier coefficients. Using the orthonormality and the properties of the inner product, we get $c_{i}=\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle$. This can be used to prove the following

Proposition 1.5 (Parseval's identity) Let $V$ be a finite dimensional inner product space and let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then, for any $u, v \in V$

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle u, w_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle .
$$

Proof: Just plug in $v=\sum_{i}\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle w_{i}$ in the left-hand side and distribute out the inner product.

Let's consider $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If the $w_{i}$ are the "standard basis", then this is just writing the inner product $\langle u, v\rangle$ in the usual way as the sum of the products of the coordinate values $\sum_{j} u_{j} v_{j}$ where $u_{j}=\left\langle u, w_{j}\right\rangle$ and $v_{j}=\left\langle v, w_{j}\right\rangle$. Parseval's identity says you can do this using any orthonormal basis you want. Plugging in the case of $v=u$, we get $\|u\|^{2}=\sum_{i} u_{i}^{2}$.

## 2 Adjoint of a linear transformation

Definition 2.1 Let $V, W$ be inner product spaces over the same field $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. A transformation $\varphi^{*}: W \rightarrow V$ is called an adjoint of $\varphi$ if

$$
\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{*}(w), v\right\rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .
$$

Example 2.2 Let $V=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $W=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with the usual inner product, and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be represented by the matrix $A$. Then $\varphi^{*}$ is represented by the matrix $A^{T}$. In particular, $\langle w, A v\rangle=$ $\left.w^{T} A v=\left(A^{T} w\right)^{T} v=\left\langle A^{T} w, v\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{*}(w), v\right)\right\rangle$. So, a symmetric matrix is "self-adjoint".

Example 2.3 Let $V=W=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with the inner product $\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \cdot \overline{v_{i}}$. Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ be represented by the matrix $A$. Then $\varphi^{*}$ is represented by the matrix $\overline{A^{T}}$.

Example 2.4 Let $V=C([0,1],[-1,1])$ with the inner product $\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) d x$, and let $W=C([0,1 / 2],[-1,1])$ with the inner product $\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} g_{1}(x) g_{2}(x) d x$. Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be defined as $\varphi(f)(x)=f(2 x)$. Then, $\varphi^{*}: W \rightarrow V$ can be defined as

$$
\varphi^{*}(g)(y)=(1 / 2) \cdot g(y / 2) .
$$

We will prove that every linear transformation has a unique adjoint. However, we first need the following characterization of linear transformations from an inner product space $V$ to the field $\mathbb{F}$ it is over.

Proposition 2.5 (Riesz Representation Theorem) Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional inner product space over $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $z \in V$ such that $\alpha(v)=\langle z, v\rangle \forall v \in V$.

We only prove the theorem here for finite-dimensional spaces. However, the theorem holds for any Hilbert space.

Proof: Let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Given $v$, let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be its Fourier coefficients, so $v=\sum_{i} c_{i} w_{i}$, and $c_{i}=\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle$. Since $\alpha$ is a linear transformation, we must have $\alpha(v)=\sum_{i} c_{i} \alpha\left(w_{i}\right)=\sum_{i}\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle \alpha\left(w_{i}\right)=\sum_{i}\left\langle\overline{\alpha\left(w_{i}\right)} w_{i}, v\right\rangle=\langle z, v\rangle$ for $z=\sum_{i} \overline{\alpha\left(w_{i}\right)} w_{i}$.

This can be used to prove the following:
Proposition 2.6 Let $V, W$ be finite dimensional inner product spaces and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $\varphi^{*}: W \rightarrow V$, such that

$$
\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{*}(w), v\right\rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .
$$

Proof: For each $w \in W$, the map $\langle w, \varphi(\cdot)\rangle: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear transformation (check!) and hence there exists a unique $z_{w} \in V$ satisfying $\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\left\langle z_{w}, v\right\rangle \forall v \in V$. Consider the map $\beta: W \rightarrow V$ defined as $\beta(w)=z_{w}$. By definition of $\beta$,

$$
\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\langle\beta(w), v\rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .
$$

To check that $\beta$ is linear, we note that $\forall v \in V, \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in W$,
$\left\langle\beta\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right), v\right\rangle=\left\langle w_{1}+w_{2}, \varphi(v)\right\rangle=\left\langle w_{1}, \varphi(v)\right\rangle+\left\langle w_{2}, \varphi(v)\right\rangle=\left\langle\beta\left(w_{1}\right), v\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta\left(w_{2}\right), v\right\rangle$, which implies $\beta\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)=\beta\left(w_{1}\right)+\beta\left(w_{2}\right) . \beta(c \cdot w)=c \cdot \beta(w)$ follows similarly.

Note that the above proof only requires the Riesz representation theorem (to define $z_{w}$ ) and hence also works for Hilbert spaces.

## 3 Self-adjoint transformations

Definition 3.1 A linear transformation $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ is called self-adjoint if $\varphi=\varphi^{*}$. Linear transformations from a vector space to itself are called linear operators.

Example 3.2 The transformation represented by matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is self-adjoint if $A=\overline{A^{T}}$. Such matrices are called Hermitian matrices.

Proposition 3.3 Let $V$ be an inner product space and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then

- All eigenvalues of $\varphi$ are real.
- If $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ are eigenvectors corresposnding to distinct eigenvalues then they are mutually orthogonal.

